

DECOMPOSING SEQUENCES INTO MONOTONIC SUBSEQUENCES

MELVYN B. NATHANSON, ROHIT PARIKH, AND SAMER SALAME

ABSTRACT. The function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called k -monotonically increasing if there is a partition $X = \cup_{i=1}^k X_i$ such that $f|X_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ is monotonically increasing for $i = 1, \dots, k$. It is proved that a one-to-one function $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is k -monotonically increasing if and only if every set of $k+1$ positive integers contains two integers x, x' with $x < x'$ such that $f(x) \leq f(x')$. The function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called k -monotonic if there is a partition $X = \cup_{i=1}^k X_i$ such that $f|X_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ is monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing for $i = 1, \dots, k$. It is also proved that there does not exist a k -monotonic function from $\mathbf{N}$ onto $\mathbf{Q}$.

1. k -MONOTONICALLY INCREASING FUNCTIONS

Let X and Y be partially ordered sets. The function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *monotonically increasing* if $x, x' \in X$ and $x \leq x'$ implies $f(x) \leq f(x')$, and *monotonically decreasing* if $x, x' \in X$ and $x \leq x'$ implies $f(x) \geq f(x')$. The function f is *monotonic* if it is monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing.

Let k be a positive integer. The function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *k -monotonically increasing* if X contains subsets $X_1, \dots, X_k$ such that $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$ and the functions $f|X_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ are monotonically increasing for $i = 1, \dots, k$, where $f|X_i$ is the function f restricted to the subset X_i .

Consider the sequences

$$3, 2, 1, 6, 5, 4, 9, 8, 7, 12, 11, 10 \dots$$

and

$$1, 3, 2, 6, 5, 4, 10, 9, 8, 7, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11 \dots$$

Equivalently, consider the functions $g : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ and $h : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ defined by

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} n+2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ n & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ n-2 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

and

$$h(n) = \frac{m(m+1)}{2} - \ell$$

Date: February 2, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary A60A6, 06A07.

Key words and phrases. Partial orders, monotonic functions.

The work of M.B.N. was supported in part by grants from the NSA Mathematical Sciences Program and the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program. The work of R. P. was supported in part by a grant from the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program.

where $m(m+1)/2$ is the unique triangular number such that

$$\frac{m(m-1)}{2} < n \leq \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$$

and

$$\ell = \frac{m(m+1)}{2} - n.$$

The function g is 3-monotonically increasing, since g is increasing on the sets $X_i = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : n \equiv i \pmod{3}\}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. On the other hand, if a function f is k -monotonically increasing, then the pigeon-hole principle implies that any set of $k+1$ elements of X contains two elements x, x' such that $x < x'$ and $f(x) \leq f(x')$. This is a necessary condition for a function to be k -monotonically increasing. Since the function h is strictly decreasing on arbitrarily long intervals of consecutive integers, it follows that h is not k -monotonic for any positive integer k .

We shall prove that this necessary condition is also sufficient for sequences of distinct positive integers, that is, for one-to-one functions $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$.

We define property $P(k)$ as follows: Let X and Y be partially ordered sets. For $k \geq 2$, the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies property $P(k)$ if, for every set $X' \subseteq X$ with $|X'| = k+1$, there exist $x, x' \in X'$ such that $x < x'$ and $f(x) \leq f(x')$. For totally ordered sets X and Y , the function f satisfies condition $P(k)$ if and only if X does not contain an increasing sequence of $k+1$ elements $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_k < x_{k+1}$ such that $f(x_1) > f(x_2) > \dots > f(x_k) > f(x_{k+1})$.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a finite or infinite set of positive integers, and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ be a one-to-one function. Let $k \geq 1$. The function f satisfies property $P(k)$ if and only if there are subsets $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k \subseteq X$ such that $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \dots \cup X_k$ and f restricted to X_i is monotonically increasing for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

Proof. By induction on $k \geq 1$. The theorem holds for $k = 1$, since this is simply the definition of monotonicity.

Assume the result holds for functions that satisfy condition $P(k-1)$ for some $k \geq 2$. Let f be a function that satisfies $P(k)$. We construct the set $X_k = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ as follows: Let $a_1 = 1$. Given a_n , let a_{n+1} be the smallest integer such that $f(a_{n+1}) > f(a_n)$. Then

$$a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < a_{n+1} < \dots$$

and

$$f(a_1) < f(a_2) < \dots < f(a_n) < f(a_{n+1}) < \dots$$

Thus, f is strictly increasing on the set X_k . Note that X_k is finite if and only if X is finite.

We shall prove that the set $B = X \setminus X_k$ satisfies condition $P(k-1)$. If not, then there exists an increasing sequence $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k$ of elements of B such that $f(b_1) > f(b_2) > \dots > f(b_k)$. Since $1 = a_1 < b_1$, there is a unique integer n such that $a_n \in X_k$ and $a_n < b_1 < a_{n+1}$. Since the function f is one-to-one and a_{n+1} is the smallest integer such that $f(a_n) < f(a_{n+1})$, it follows that $f(a_n) > f(b_1)$. Thus,

$$a_n < b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k$$

and

$$f(a_n) > f(b_1) > f(b_2) > \dots > f(b_k),$$

which is impossible since f satisfies property $P(k)$ on X . Therefore, f satisfies property $P(k-1)$ on B , and so there are subsets $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1} \subseteq B$ such that $B = X_1 \cup X_2 \cdots \cup X_{k-1}$ and f restricted to X_i is increasing for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

2. k -MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS

Let X and Y be partially ordered sets. Let k be a positive integer. The function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ will be called k -monotonic if X contains subsets $X_1, \dots, X_k$ such that $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$ and the functions $|X_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ are monotonic (that is, monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing) for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. We shall prove that there does not exist a k -monotonic function from $\mathbf{N}$ onto $\mathbf{Q}$.

Theorem 2. *If $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is onto, then f is not k -monotonic for any k .*

Proof. Let $A_1, \dots, A_r, B_1, \dots, B_s$ be sets of positive integers, and let

$$\mathbf{N} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r \cup B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_s.$$

Let $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ be a function such that $f|_{A_i}$ is monotonically decreasing for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $f|_{B_j}$ is monotonically increasing for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Let

$$a^* = \sup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r f(A_i) \right) = \sup \{f(\min(A_i)) : i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Let

$$J_1 = \{j \in \{1, \dots, s\} : \sup(f(B_j)) < \infty\}$$

and

$$b^* = \sup \left(\bigcup_{j \in J_1} f(B_j) \right).$$

If

$$m^* = \max(a^*, b^*)$$

then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^r f(A_i) \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_1} f(B_j) \subseteq (-\infty, m^*].$$

Let

$$J_2 = \{1, \dots, s\} \setminus J_1 = \{j \in \{1, \dots, s\} : \sup(f(B_j)) = \infty\}.$$

For each $j \in J_2$ there is an integer $b_j \in B_j$ such that $f(b_j) \geq m^* + 1$. Then

$$\bigcup_{j \in J_2} \{f(b) : b \in B_j \text{ and } b \geq b_j\} \subseteq [m^* + 1, \infty).$$

The set

$$B^* = \bigcup_{j \in J_2} \{b \in B_j : b < b_j\}$$

is finite, and so the set

$$f(\mathbf{N}) \cap (m^*, m^* + 1) \cap \mathbf{Q} = f(B^*) \cap (m^*, m^* + 1) \cap \mathbf{Q}$$

is also finite. Since nonempty open interval $(m^*, m^* + 1)$ contains infinitely many rational numbers, it follows that the function $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is not surjective. Thus, no surjection from $\mathbf{N}$ onto $\mathbf{Q}$ is k -monotonic.

We can also prove Theorem 2 as follows: If $\mathbf{N} = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_r \cup B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_s$ and if f is monotonic on the sets A_i and B_j , then the sets $f(A_i)$ and $f(B_j)$ each have exactly one limit point in $\mathbf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. If q is a rational number that is not one of these limit points, then there is an open neighborhood U of q such that $U \cap f(\mathbf{N}) \subseteq \{q\}$, and so every rational number $q' \in U$ with $q' \neq q$ is not in the image of f . This completes the proof. $\square$

We define an *interval of rational numbers* to be a set of the form $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathbf{Q}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is an open, closed, or half-open, half-closed interval with nonempty interior. An argument similar to that of Theorem 2 proves the following result.

Theorem 3. *If f is a function from $\mathbf{N}$ onto an interval of rational numbers, then f is not k -monotonic for any k .*

It is an open problem to determine if there a simple criterion analogous to that of Theorem 1 to determine if a one-to-one function $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is k -monotonic.

LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NEW YORK 10468, AND CUNY GRADUATE CENTER, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016

E-mail address: melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu

BROOKLYN COLLEGE (CUNY), BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11210, AND CUNY GRADUATE CENTER, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016

E-mail address: rparikh@gc.cuny.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRONX COMMUNITY COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NEW YORK 10453

E-mail address: ssalame@gmail.com